

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/631,315	07/30/2003	Rob Jason Evans	EVAN-0973 1892		
23123 75	90 04/18/2006		EXAMINER		
SCHMEISER OLSEN & WATTS			JOHNSON, BLAIR M		
18 E UNIVERSITY DRIVE SUITE # 101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MESA, AZ 85201			3634		
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Office Action Summary			10/631,315	,315 EVANS, ROB JASON				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Blair M. Johnson	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHC WHIC - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply weply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DAT f 37 CFR 1.136( nication. utory period will ill, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire  apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
2a) <u></u> 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2t Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	o)⊠ This a or allowanc	ction is non-final. e except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) 1-20,60 and 61 is/are pendir (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 and 61 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 60 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	e withdrawn on and/or e	election requirement.	Examiner.				
1	Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to l	he correction	n is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CI				
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo-ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PNo(s)/Mail Date 7/30/03.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/631,315 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 6-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 depends from itself. It is assumed to depend from claim 5.

The recitation of "modes" is indefinite since no structure is associated therewith.

The recitation of a "mode" is recitation of an operating condition which needs supporting structure or means.

The recitation of a "sixth" mode, in the absence of modes 1-5 is ambiguous, claim 16.

The recitation of a spring failure mode is indefinite since a spring has not been recited.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,7,8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Marlatt et al.

See controller 36, door 10, input 44, etc., clutch 46, axle 24 and gear 42. The recitation of "alarm modes" is met as best understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4,11-16,18-20 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marlatt et al in view of Crimmins.

Crimmins discloses a fire door that incorporates position monitors, back-up power sources, obstruction sensors, audible and visual alarms, open, close, stop, test and reset buttons and modes, etc., all of which could easily be incorporated with the Marlatt et al door so as to fully automate the door.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marlatt et al in view of Elliott et al.

Marlatt et al states that other operating means are also contemplated, column 3, lines 32-36. Elliott et al discloses that a hand crank is well known in this art and it would have been obvious to provide such for Marlatt et al so as to avoid the need for an electric motor.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 60 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 6 and 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 3634

**BMJ** 4/17/06